

Payroll Tax Ruling PTA020

Contractors – 180-Day Exemption

Version	Issued	Dates of Effect	
1	1 July 2009	From: 1 July 2009	To: Current

PREAMBLE

The *Payroll Tax Act* (the Act), which commenced on 1 July 2009, rewrites the *Pay-roll Tax Act* to largely harmonise it with the legislation of other states.

Parties to a 'relevant contract' are deemed to be employers and employees (sections 33 and 34 of the Act) and payments made under a contract are deemed to be wages (section 35 of the Act). Deemed wages are subject to payroll tax under section 36 of the Act.

While most contracts for the provision of services come within the meaning of 'relevant contract' under section 32 of the Act, certain types of contracts are specifically excluded from the definition of 'relevant contract'. One exclusion is a contract for services of a kind ordinarily required by the principal for less than 180 days in a financial year (section 32(2)(b)(ii) of the Act). Another exclusion is a contract for the provision of services by a person providing the same or similar services to a principal under the contract for no more than 90 days in a financial year (section 32(2)(b)(iii) of the Act).

The purpose of this Payroll Tax Ruling is to explain the 180-day exemption under section 32(2)(b)(ii) of the Act and provide examples to clarify the application of this exemption.

RULING

The difference between the 180-day exemption and the 90-day exemption is that while the 90-day exemption requires the determination of the number of days an individual contractor provides services to a principal, the 180-day exemption requires a determination of the total number of days a particular type of service is required by the principal (regardless of whether the service has been provided by contractors and/or employees).

From time to time, businesses may require certain ad-hoc services to operate effectively but do not require these services for the whole year. Further, seasonal businesses may require certain essential services to operate effectively but do not require these services for the whole year.

The 180-day exemption focuses on the number of days on which a particular type of service is ordinarily required by the principal in a financial year. Where a particular service is provided by both employees and contractors, the number of days on which such a service is provided to the principal by both the contractors and employees must be taken into account. Services required for part of a day will count as a full day.

The days for which the type of service is required do not have to be consecutive. It is the total number of days for which a particular type of service is ordinarily required during the financial year that is relevant.

In essence, where a type of service is required by an employer for less than 180 days in a financial year, payments to all contractors providing that service are exempt even though an individual contractor may have worked for more than 90 days in the same financial year.

In the following examples, it is assumed that the principals do not engage employees to perform the type of services discussed in the financial year concerned.

Example 1

A tour operator in Darwin engages a number of contract tour guides each year for 120 days during the tourist season. The business has no requirement for the services of tour guides outside of the season. Section 13B(2)(b)(ii) of the Act is satisfied in this situation as the services are required for less than 180 days in a financial year.

Consequently, the contracts that the tour guides entered into with the tour operator are not 'relevant contracts'. Accordingly, payments made to the contract tour guides are not subject to payroll tax even if each tour guide has worked more than 90 days in a particular financial year.

Example 2

A building company engages the services of a contract landscape gardener (Landscape A) to perform landscaping services for 100 days in a financial year. A second contract landscape gardener (Landscape B) is engaged to perform the same services concurrently for 95 days. No other landscaping work is required by this building company for the rest of the financial year.

As the building company only requires landscaping services for 100 days in the financial year, section 32(2)(b)(ii) of the Act is satisfied. Accordingly, both contracts with Landscape A and Landscape B are not 'relevant contracts' and payments made under both contracts are not subject to payroll tax.

On the other hand, if Landscape B performed the 95 days of service after Landscape A has completed his 100 days of service, the exemption in section 32(2)(b)(ii) of the Act does not apply because the total number of days that the building company requires landscaping services is 195 (100 days + 95 days). As a result, contracts entered into with Landscape A and Landscape B are 'relevant contracts' under 32 of the Act and payments made under these contracts are subject to payroll tax, unless one of the other exemptions under the contractor provisions applies.

Please note that rulings do not have the force of law. Each decision made by the Territory Revenue Office is made on the merits of each individual case, having regard to any relevant ruling. Commissioner's Guideline CG-GEN-001, which sets out information on the revenue publication system, is incorporated into and is to be read as one with this Ruling. All Circulars, Guidelines and Rulings are available from www.revenue.nt.gov.au.



Craig Vukman
COMMISSIONER OF TERRITORY REVENUE

For further information contact the Territory Revenue Office:

GPO Box 1974
DARWIN NT 0801

Tel: 1300 305 353
Fax: 08 8999 5577

Email: ntrevenue@nt.gov.au
Website: www.revenue.nt.gov.au